UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V. Elias Monter-Perez	Case No. 1:18-cr-00239				
	ofter conducting a detention hearing under the lefendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require				
	Pa	rt I – Findings of Fact				
(1)		scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ffense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mo	S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for one.				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
	an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in:				
	a felony committed after the defendant U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ole state or local offenses.				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence a minor victim					
	the possession or use of a a failure to register under 1	firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 8 U.S.C. § 2250				
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).					
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable person or the community. I further find that de	e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another efendant has not rebutted that presumption.				
	•	ernative Findings (A)				
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de	<u> </u>				
、	for which a maximum prison term of ter Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 8 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					
(2)		ion established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions rance and the safety of the community.				
		ernative Findings (B)				
<u>√</u> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	not appear.				
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
		nent of the Reasons for Detention				
evidence 1. Defer 2. Defer	a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing no ndant is subject to an immigration detainer and					

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	November 15, 2018	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	